

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/899,492	. (	07/03/2001	Conly L. Hansen	PWATMM	2599
22922	7590	12/24/2003		EXAMINER	
		NER VAN DEURI	ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
ATTN: LIN		RIEL, DOCKET CO R STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2100	)		1761		
MILWAUK	EE, WI	53202	DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the same				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/899,492	HANSEN ET AL.	
Office Action St	ummary	Examin r	Art Unit	
		Reginald L. Alexander	1761	
	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Period for Reply	V DEDICE 500 DEDI V	VIOLOGIA TO EVENDE A MONITUV	0) 50014	
THE MAILING DATE OF THI  - Extensions of time may be available unafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  - If the period for reply specified above is  - If NO period for reply is specified above  - Failure to reply within the set or extend	S COMMUNICATION.  Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  Index of this communication.  Index shan thirty (30) days, a reply  Index the maximum statutory period we  Index of the maximum statutory period we	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to commun	nication(s) filed on <u>27 O</u> d	<u>ctober 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊡ This a	action is non-final.		
		ce except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-24</u> is/are p	ending in the application	l.		
4a) Of the above claim(	s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 18-22</u>	is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17,23 and</u>	24 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are o	bjected to.			
8) Claim(s) are sub	eject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is obje	ected to by the Examine	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)  acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not reques	t that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing she	eet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration	is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c)[		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(as have been received.	)-(d) or (f).	
3. Copies of the cel application from	rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been receiven (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  If the certified copies not receive	ed in this National Stage	
since a specific reference 37 CFR 1.78.	was included in the firs	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e t sentence of the specification or visional application has been rec	in an Application Data Sheet.	
14) Acknowledgment is mad	e of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 e specification or in an Application	and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s	awing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/899,492 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 17, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otsuka et al in view of Tanaka et al.

There is disclosed in Otsuka a machine for injecting liquid into a subject, comprising: a plurality of air pumps 4 for receiving injectate; and a plurality of heads 10 in fluid communication with one of the pumps.

Tanaka discloses that it is known in the art to have a fluid injection head 7, the injection head having apertures (formed at the tip of branch pipes 23) for nozzles.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the heads of Otsuka with that disclosed in Tanaka, in order to inject fluid into a meat product without damaging the meat.

In regards to the spacing of the nozzles from the subject during injection of the fluid, such is seen merely as an operational step and provides no structural limitations to the claims. The prior art discloses all of the structural limitations of the claims.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15 and 18-22 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The functional recitation of spacing the nozzle from the subject during injection is not considered to be patentable subject matter in the apparatus claims.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-1594. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,492

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 4

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

rla

December 15, 2003

Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner

Primary Exam.

Art Unit 1761